1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEAT	ΓLE
10	JINNI TECH LTD., et al.,	CASE NO. C17-0217JLR
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
12	v.	
13	RED.COM, INC., et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	On July 9, 2018, counsel for Plaintiffs Jinni Tech, Ltd. and Bruce Royce	
16	(collectively, "Plaintiffs") moved to withdraw. (MTW (Dkt. # 42).) Counsel sought to	
17	withdraw because they did "not believe that the advice they provide w[ould] materially	
18	aid [Plaintiffs] and that [Plaintiffs] w[ould] be better served by other attorneys." (Id. at	
19	2-3.) Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 83.2, the court granted the motion on July 10, 2018.	
20	(7/10/18 Order (Dkt. # 44)); see also Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 83.2.	
21	After the court entered its order, Mr. Royce responded, asking the court to deny	
22	the motion or "at least post-pone [sic] the issuance of the order until [he] can	

secure a new representative." (MTW Resp. (Dkt. #46) at 1.) Based on the hardship Mr. Royce identifies (see id.), the court stays this matter for two (2) weeks from the date of 2 this order to allow Plaintiffs to secure new counsel. The effective date of counsel's 3 4 withdrawal will be two (2) weeks from the date of this order. If new counsel does not appear for Plaintiffs by that date, Mr. Royce will be required to proceed pro se, and the 5 6 court will dismiss Jinni Tech's claims for failure to prosecute. See Local Rules W.D. 7 Wash. LCR 83.2(b)(4) (stating that a "business entity . . . must be represented by counsel" and "that failure to obtain a replacement attorney by the date the withdrawal is 8 9 effective may result in the dismissal of the business entity's claims for failure to prosecute"). 10 11 Dated this 11th day of July, 2018. 12 m R. Plut 13 JAMES L. ROBART 14 United States District Judge 15 16 17 18

19

20

21

22